

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/552,302 | 07/26/2006 | Chi Vu | A 194 US 002 | 1885 |
| Biogen Idec Ir | 7590 12/31/2908 nc | 8 | EXAM | INER |
| Patent and Trademark Coordinator 14 Cambridge Center Cambridge, MA 02142 | | | KIFLE, BRUCK | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1624 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/31/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/552,302 VU ET AL. Office Action Summary Evaminor Art Unit

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | LAGITIMO | ALC OILL | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Bruck Kifle | 1624 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.15 - If NO period for reply is a specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the sort or extended period for reply with 19 yet abute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Oc | ctober 2008. | | | | | | |
| ·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-45 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | epted or b) objected to by the I | Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | on is required if the drawing(s) is ob | ected to. See 37 C | FR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form P | ГО-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | ., ., | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | on No. | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | | Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | • | | - 0 | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | | d. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/09/07. 6) Other: __ U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Action Summary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20081223 Art Unit: 1624

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

Applicant's election of the species 2-furan-2yl-N7-[1-(5-methyl-isoxazol-3-ylmethyl)pyrrolidin-2ylmethyl]-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidine-5,7-diamine in the reply filed on 10/07/08 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The elected species has the following structural formula

The elected compound was not found and the search was expanded to embrace compounds of formula (I) wherein A is furan, B is N along with the full scope of the remaining variables

It is unclear how this compound is a compound of the instant claims. R¹ in claim 1 does not permit a substituent.

Claims 2-42 are withdrawn from consideration because art was found (see MPEP 803.02.)

Applicants are advised of MPEP 803.02 Restriction - Markush Claims [R - 2], fourth and fifth paragraph, where is stated:

"As an example, in the case of an application with a Markush - type claim drawn to the compound C - R, wherein R is a radical selected from the group consisting of A, B, C, D, and E, the examiner may require a provisional election of a single species, CA, CB, CC, CD, or CE. The Markush - type claim would then be examined fully with respect to the elected species and any species considered to be clearly unpatentiable over the elected species. If on examination the elected species is found to be anticipated or rendered obvious by prior art, the Markush - type Application/Control Number: 10/552,302

Art Unit: 1624

claim and claims to the elected species shall be rejected, and claims to the non - elected species would be held withdrawn from further consideration. As in the prevailing practice, a second action on the rejected claims would be made final." (Emphasis added).

On the other hand, should no prior art be found that anticipates or renders obvious the elected species, the search of the Markush-type claim will be extended. If prior art is found that anticipates or renders obvious the Markush-type claim will be extended. If prior art is found that anticipates or renders obvious the Markush-type claim will be extended unnecessarily to cover all nonelected species. Should applicant, in response to this rejection of the Markush-type claim overcome the rejection, as by amending the Markush-type claim to exclude the species anticipated or rendered obvious by the prior art, the amended Markush-type claim will be reexamined. The prior art search will be extended to the extent necessary to determine patentability of the Markush-type claim. In the event prior art is found during the reexamination that anticipates or renders obvious the amended Markush-type claim, the claim will be rejected and the action made final. Amendments submitted after the final rejection further restricting the scope of the claim may be denied enter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(c) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Matasi et al. (US

7,041,666). The claim reads on the compound 77 in column 45 of the reference. This compound is depicted below.

RN 540751-78-8 CAPLUS
CN [1,2,4]Triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-5-amine,
2-(2-furanyl)-7-[3-(4-methoxyohenyl)propyl]- (CA INDEX NAME)

Application/Control Number: 10/552,302 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention

- i) The term "heteroaryl" is indefinite because it is not known how many atoms are present, how many and what kind of heteroatoms are involved, what size ring is intended and how many rings are present.
- ii) Similarly, the terms "heterocycloalkyl," "heterocycloalkenyl," "heteroaralkyl" and
 "heterocyclyl" are indefinite because it is not known how many atoms make up the ring, which
 atoms are present and what kind of a ring (monocyclic, bicyclic, spiro, fused, bridged, saturated,
 etc.) is intended.
- iii) In the definitions of R' and R," urea and thiourea are present. These are compounds and not substituents and it is unclear what Applicants here intend.
- iv) When two adjacent R' groups can join to form a 4 to 8 membered optionally substituted cyclic moiety, it is unclear which atoms form the cyclic moiety. Also, the term "substituted" without saying which substituents are intended is indefinite. One skilled in the art cannot say which substituents are permitted and which ones are not.

Applicants are urgently requested to point to the excluded compounds in the prior art if the provisos are present to avoid prior art rejections because the disclosure of these excluded compounds is material to the examination of this application. Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruck Kifle whose telephone number is 571-272-0668. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 8:30 AM -6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bruck Kifle/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

BK

December 24, 2008